### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M09403 Date Received: 08/23/12 Date Extracted: 08/28/12 Date Analyzed: 08/29/12 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID:

Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor M09403, F&BI 208337

208337-01 x10

Data File: Instrument: 208337-01 x10.034

Operator:

ICPMS1 AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 101

Lower Limit: **6**0

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 251 215 Nickel 270 Copper 14.9 Zinc

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Date Received: Not Applicable 08/28/12 Date Extracted: 08/29/12 Date Analyzed:

Matrix: Units:

ug/L (ppb)

Water

Client: Project: Alaskan Copper Works

Lab ID: Data File: Metro Self Monitor M09403, F&BI 208337 I2-556 mb

I2-556 mb.032 ICPMS1

Instrument: Operator: AP

Lower

Upper

Internal Standard:

Germanium

% Recovery: 95

Limit: 60

Limit: 125

Concentration

Analyte:

ug/L (ppb)

<1 Chromium Nickel <1 Copper <5 Zinc <1

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 08/29/12 Date Received: 08/23/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M09403, F&BI 208337

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 208360-02 (Matrix Spike)

	5			Percent	Percent			
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD	
_Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)	
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	73.3	89 b	128 b	71-130	36 b	
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	44.5	99 b	115 b	71-120	15 b	
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	<5	92	93	52-134	1	
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	27.0	99 b	97 b	51-142	2 b	

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent										
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance							
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria							
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	91	80-119							
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	83-119							
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	81-120							
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	101	82-120							

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

208337 SA	AMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	ME 08/8	13/12 AJY
Company AUASKAN Coppen Works	PROJECT NAME/NO.  Metro Self month	PO#	TURNAROUND TIME    Standard (2 Weeks)   FAUSH
Address 628 S. HANGEL ST. City, State, ZIP Season WA 78134	REMARKS		SAMPLE DISPOSAL  Dispose after 30 days Return samples
Phone # 206-571-6033 Fax # 206-382-8379		ANALYSES REQU	□ Will call with instructions ESTED

		T						- 1		ANA	LYSE	S REG	UEST	ED_			
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH Gasoline	BTEX by 80218	VOCs by 8860	SVOCe by 8270	ဖွာ	CC CU 145 22				1	Notes
M 07403	01	8/23/12	12:30	HO	1						T	X					19
											+						
										1	t						
									4	+	+	-	-		-		
								-	1	+	-	t				-	
,													•				
												$\perp$	_				
riedman & Bruya, Inc.	إربا	TOMATTI	P		DDIAM	NA	ME.			1	Ц,	YOME	PANY			ATE	TIME
012 16th Avenue West	Religional by	SIGNATURE Religious by:			George Thomas					+	ACW				8/	23/12	11694
eottle, WA 98119-2029 h. (206) 285-8282	Recaired by:  M W Australia By:				Whan Phan						FeBT				8/	3/12	1:16pm
Received by:			1	# f							7.						

FORMS\COC\COC.DOC

Samples received at 22 °C

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 29, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on August 23, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M09403, F&BI 208337 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0829R.DOC